wonder, such a critic (and poet) as Muhammad Iqbal cried out in anger: "Thanks to the ugliness of your face, Even your mirror is in disgrace!"

Since the middle of the nineteenth century, a series of Muslim thinkers and reformers have advocated political reform basing there arguments on the Qur'anic principle of Shura and contending that the only way to implement this principle in today's context is to institute representative forms of government so that the will of the people will be decisive in the process of decision-making. This "going back to the Qur'an" facilitated greatly the gradual introduction into the various lands of Islam of constitutional forms of government. But serious questioning of the validity of democracy continues in Muslim societies, and there had been recently a relapse into religious or religio-military dictatorships in certain Muslim countries. The hasto partial of questioning of the validity of democracy is partly provided by the substance—not the form—of democracy in the West. Many Muslims hotly argue that the democracy in the Western countries rests on the will of people who have no vision what-ever of any higher moral order for man and that the only considerations that motivate their voting behavior are narrow, selfish, and materialistic, It is to be feared that the sever critique of Muhammad Iqbal quoted above against democracies but qua secular societies that have degenerated in terms of ethical orientations to an extraordinarily low and myopic level. Muslim critics are, however, obviously wrong in rejecting democracy, which is positively and patently enjoined by the Qur'an as the moral foundation of the Community's life. There is, therefore, nothing wrong, from a Qur'anic point of view, with Western democratic forms-in fact, these are to be praised. Muslims would do better to give an ethical substance to the individual and collective life of the community. For among the historic religions only Islam had consciously founded a community on the universal basis of Islam.

© rasailojar

intellectual, and the moral levels, the Muslim Community has been as guilty of neglecting, ignoring, and condemning the masses as any other tradition.

In its egalitarian vision of the Islamic body-social and body-political, for example, the Qur'an has laid down that Muslims must decide their affairs through mutual consultation on an equal footing: "Their affairs shall be decided through mutual consultation [shura]." (42:38) This, of course, applies to all fields: political, religious, social, economic, etc. At the intellectual-moral plane, the Qur'an had definitely advocated that a group from among every segment of Muslim society should "acquire knowledge and insight in Faith [li-yatafaqqahu fi i-din] so that they should, in turn, teach the rest of the members of the Muslim Community (9:199). The obvious meaning of this verse is that the gap between the average measure of the Muslim Community and their religio-moral leadership must be minimized in the interests of Islamic egalitarianism. Yet the Muslims have, since the very early times, suffered from a religious leadership that has had little to do with the masses and has been ruled by political autocrats. The "mutual consultation" (shura) of the Qur'an was never institutionalized.

Worse still, the "mutual consultation" of the Qur'an was distorted by Sunni Muslim political theorists into the "the ruler's consulting people whom he thought fit for consultation"! As for the Shi'a, there never was any question of a *shura*-democracy, since rule really belonged to the Absent *Imam* ("religious leader"), who is, for some reason, in hiding. As for the religio-moral plane, the *ulama*' ("religious teachers"), who were supposed to raise the standards of the common man, first by gaining knowledge of and insight into the Faith and then by communicating it effectively to others, failed in their task, with the resultant quasi-Brahminism that became the bane of Islam. No

concept that the "average man," "the masses," are "no good." They are doomed forever to wallow in moral wretchedness and mental blindness, and hence they must follow the lead of those enlightened minds and exalted souls who have "made good" their humanity. While intellectuals and moral dandies have contemptuously laughed at certain grotesque features of the Hindu caste system, they have forgotten that basically and essentially all human societies have been guilty of the same attitude toward "the masses." The only difference is that Hinduism has frankly and ruthlessly formalized this attitude and created certain heinous social distinctions from which other-societies can boast to be free. But one has to scratch only a little beneath the surface to discover, in the words of a Persian poet, that "This is a sin that is committed in your town as well!"

The Qur'an is also highly critical of the attitudes of the majority of human beings. Witness phrases like "They are like cattle, indeed, even more misguided." But the whole point of the Qur'an is that these people are not really cattle; they are humans and, therefore, the effort to raise their level is not only "good" but is absolutely imperative if the human race is to become a Muslim Community. That this is a task both feasible and necessary is throughout envisaged by the Qur'an. This was the rationale behind the setting up of the Muslim Community, a "community of believing brothers" (49:10). Even the non-Muslim writers have acknowledged the generally egalitarian constitution of the actual Muslim Community. Nevertheless, we must ask the question as to how far this "egalitarianism" and this brotherhood" is a reality among Muslims and to what extent it is merely a desideratum. On a closer examination of the data of Islamic history, one might say that so far as economic and purely religious spheres are concerned, the exploitation of the masses has not been as great as in other religions and the spirit of Islamic egalitarianism, despite its emaciation, has not altogether disappeared. But at the political, the religioFundamentalist movements in the Muslim world is that they have been aiming at establishing, and some have actually succeeded in establishing, political power without first creating a Muslim Community. In fact, all the Fundamentalist movements in the Middle East, the Subcontinent, and Southeast Asia have been Misled by their leaders into thinking that once they get political power, all will become Islamic, the result being that when some of them somehow manage to get actual political control, their Islam proves to be no more than a broken reed. The truth is that the current Muslim Community has to become a real muslim community once again: it must clearly understand what "surrender to God's law" means and must give its commitment to this.

Nevertheless, a Muslim Community sugless the Purisic envisages is called for imperatively and desperately. This community the Qur'an also calls "the Median Community, so that you can be witnesses over mankind," Presumably, what the Our'an has in mind is that Islam's task is to mediate between what it regards as Jewish particularism on the one hand and the overly "liquid" character of Christianity. In any case, it does have in mind the function of mediating between extremes, thus removing what it continuously calls "corruption of the earth" (fasad fi'l-ard) and restoring a healthy socio-political order based upon a viable ethical foundation. If this is what Professor Wilfred Cantwell smith call the "reification" of religion, then reification it must be: God will not remain suspended in a state of "aerification" but must come down palpably on earth. But, of course, any organized social order must come up to the criteria of a muslim community as laid down by the Qur'an in order to become the Muslim Community.

From a communal point of view, the most basic ailment of humanity has been the almost perennial and ubiquitous

Lord! Make the two of us [me and Ismael] those who surrender [muslimain] to you and [make] from our progeny community that shall surrender itself [ummatan muslimatan) to you" (2:128). It must be noted in 3:102 quoted here that iman, islam, and taqwa are mentioned together: those who have faith must cultivate taqwa and must do islam or surrender to God's Law. We have said above that while iman is rooted in the inner life of the individual, tagwa includes iman and results in action, and islam is that overt activity that expresses iman and taqwa. We also said that taqwa is in this manner comprehensive of iman and islam. But when collective life and community activity is in question, the term islam takes over, which is, as it were, the end result of individual iman and taqwa. It is obvious, then, that a Muslim community presupposes individuals with iman and tagwa with the last light and conditions with the condition of the condition with the condition of community is unthinkable, but conversely, iman and taqwa must result in a Muslim community and not just isolated individuals. Why?

This is because Islam aims necessarily and centrally (and not just peripherally or indirectly) at the creation of a world order wherein its imperatives and principles will be embodied in such a way that the "earth shall be reformed." We are using Islam with a capital "I" now because Islam has become the name of a specific religion (din) carried by a special community. This Muslim Community is, for the Qur'an, "the best community produced for mankind" because "you command good and forbid evil and you have faith in God" (3:110). It is to be noted that, first of all, this community is a social order based on Iman, taqwa and islam. Only when it has become a social order does it become a political order to play a world role. One cannot build a political order unless the basis of a social order has been laid firmly. This is what the Prophet Muhmmad did, and this is what those will have to do who wish to establish the Islamic order once again. The basic failure of the current

divine causation either works through nature or through man and, in fact, neither of these can operate without God. This either/or position with regard to human free causation is as meaningless in the view of the Qur'an as to say that man is either omnipotent or omnipotent altogether, to that he is either omniscient or ignorant altogether!

SOCIAL AND POLITICAL IMPLICATIONS

A community that develops taqwa becomes God's instrument and, indeed, His trustee and vicegerent on the earth, but it loses this status when it becomes morally incapacitated, as we have seen before. No community can, therefore, claim to be God's specially elected people or his privileged children or his automatic d@inga Thail On Arca id a most preposterous claim as it lays proprietory claims upon God. The Our'an had sternly rejected such claims on the part of Christians and Jews (2:111,113,120,135, etc.). But it had also told Muslims in no unclear terms, "If you turn your backs [on this teaching], God will bring in another people as a substitute who will not be like you" (47:38). Indeed, 4:144 quoted above ("Do you want to provide God with a clear cause against you?") was addressed to Muslims, the Companions of the Prophet, in Medina. The Qur'an often states that when He removes a people/community from power or destroys them. He makes a whole new start with a new people (14:28; 6:6; 21:11; etc.).

Yet, a Muslim (= muslim) community is indispensable for God's purposes: "O you with faith [iman]! Have taqwa vis-a-vis God [i.e., protect yourselves from such deeds as would entail God's punishment], and do not die but that you are Muslims" (3:102). Also, "Abraham and Jacob had admonished their sons: 'O our [literally my] sons! God has chosen the [right] religion [din] for you, so do not die but that you are muslims" (2:132). Again, Abraham said, "O our

narrow vision and petty-mindedness. All man's ills flow from this condition. The remedy is to open up one's vision and rise above pettiness to God, which can be done through cultivating taqwa.

Thus the moral condition of man is that he is, by nature as it were, sunk in selfishness and pettiness. But his "real" nature and status in creation, i.e., what he ought to be, is very exalted indeed, He has been given intellectual powers whereby he defeated angels in a competition of creative knowledge. (See the story of the creation of Adam in 2:30ff.) His misfortune is that he often did not use those powers to seek guidance but to work mischief and hence has failed so .far in fulfilling the Trust that God reposed in him. "He [man] has not so far fulfilled what God hat conmanded in a local [primordially, through his 'real' nature]" (80:23). "Indeed, We had offered the Trust to the heavens, the earth and the mountains, but they refused to bear it and were frightened, of it. But man bore it willingly. He is, indeed, unjust [to himself] and fool-hardy" (33:72). Because of his native selfishness and narrowness, man is always prone to go to extremes: he is full of pride one moment and a helpless prey to hopelessness the next moment; panicky under trial and thinking he is all but God when out of trial (70:19-21). The only way he can attain taqwa is to recognize both his powers and the limits God has put upon him as his natural condition. He is neither free like God nor helpless like a stone; he is neither omnipotent nor impotent; neither omniscient nor ignorant. Only by staying within this positive framework can he maximize his moral energy and make progress, which is the essence of taqwa. Neither God nor nature can displace him, nor yet can he displace nature or God. Those fruitless discussions of human free-will which try to make out that, if natural causation or divine causation works, human free-will cannot (and vice-versa) appear absolutely misguided in the light of the Qui and assumes all the three causations simultaneously—although Crasallojaraid. absolutely misguided in the light of the Qur'an. For it

According to the Qur'an leadership in this world does not last forever since a people in power and in prosperity sooner or later lose taqwa and begin to "sow corruption on the earth," so that their exit becomes inevitable. They become 'alin ("too big") on the earth and hence become too small for it; they grow 'atiy ("too proud and conceited") for the truth and try to turn wrong into right and right into wrong through their sheer might and are, therefore, either humiliated or destroyed. At no point, therefore, can a people or a community take either itself or God for granted: "Does it never strike those who inherit the earth following upon its earlier rulers that if we will we would smite them [too], thanks to their misdeeds?"—i.e., We shall seal up their hearts so that they will lose the capacity to listen to the truth (7:100). The Qur'an declares that it is man's own persistently wrong action that provokes God against him (despite God's infinite mercy!): "Do you want to provide God with clear cause against you?" (4:164).

How can one preserve the state of taqiva individually and collectively? Irrespective of the fact whether or not a person or community will preserve taqwa, the answer of the Our'an is quite simple. Man must always keep in view his own moral constitution and his status in the scheme of things. The basic fault that the Qur'an finds with man is that his own view of himself is very small. Because of this he behaves with a petty mind, narrow vision, and exasperating selfishness. This happens in all fields of human action, political, social, economic and, indeed, religious. Man is much too weak and small-minded (17:100; 4:28). "Man is by nature unstable. When evil touches him he panics, but when good things come his way he prevents them from reaching others" (70: 19-21). Indeed, this theme is so persistent and strong in the Qur'an that one can say that, besides the condemnation of shirk, man's narrow-mindedness is its major preoccupation. In fact, a case could be made on a solid Qur'anic basis that shirk itself is a manifestation of this

and their real worth established for the future transformation of life, will be the Hour of Truth when a person shall face himself / herself. The layers of "heedlessness" under which man's "heart" is buried in this life, shall be removed at that Hour and his real self excavated: "You were in headlessness of this [Hour], but now that we have removed your veil, your sight today is keen!" (50:22). Everyone will recognize himself / herself exactly for what he / she is, and the Qur'an emphatically states that "nobody shall be dealt with unfairly," "nobody's due shall be denied," etc. (2:281; 3:25, 161;4:49, 124, and numerous other verses). The future career of man will be based not only on full stock-taking but also on full self-stock-taking.

But, of course, the effort of the Our an is directed towards creating now that condition of seff-awareness through taawa". Every moment is the hour of Judgment, and he/she is truly the maker of his/her own destiny: "The earth. indeed, belongs to God and He causes whomsoever He wills of His servants to inherit it and the End belongs to those with taqwa" (7:128). That is, the eventual success both in "this life" and the "next" belongs to those who conduct themselves through taqwa. That there is essential continuity between "this life" and the "hereafter," I have already shown (1980: ch.6). "The earth is inherited by My good servants" (21:105); "Those who had ben oppressed We caused them to inherit the East of the earth and its West" (7:137). The following verse is about the Hereafter: "Those people who had taqwa vis-a-vis their Lord shall be led to the Garden in troops, so that when they approach it its gates will have been opened and its guards shall say to them, 'peace upon you. You have done well. Enter and abide therein.' They [with taqwa] shall say, 'All praise be to God who has fulfilled his promise to us and has given us [all] the earth, so we can make our abode in the Garden wherever we will ..." (39:73-74).

) rasailojaraid

This deep-seated self-deception of man is directly connected with the Qur'anic notion of the "weighing of deeds" on the Day of Judgment. As the verse just quoted indicates, while one may think one has performed prodigious deeds, when these deeds are put in the longrange perspective of the human future, they may turn out to be quite devoid of meaning and without any substance, for they were not rooted in the light of faith or produced in the state of taqwa. When talking about the myopic and insubstantial quality of men's deeds, the Qur'an employs particularly graphic language. "Their deeds are like ashes with which strong winds have made off on a stormy day; they cannot hang on to anything of what they earned" (14: 18). Again: "Their deeds are like a mirage in a desert which a thirsty person takes to be water, but when he arrives at it, he finds it to be nothing-however he discovers Got there" (24:39). Once again (On the Final J@graps: Two shall all proceed towards their deeds and shall show them to be motes scattered around" (25:23). This is because these deeds were done without taqwa: "The end belongs to those with taqwa" (7:128;11:49), and "God is with the people of taqwa" (2:194; 9:36; 3:76; 9:47; etc.).

This is why the belief in al-akhirah (the End, or the Final Judgment) is so central for the Qur'an. First of all, with out "the End," man falls into a state of living from moment to moment, and becomes not only "short-sighted" but like animals. It is the akhirah that provides the necessary vision and brings taqwa into operation. The pursuit of "this world" (al-dunya) is another expression for sinking into the hereand-now. People with this attitude to life are "like cattle, indeed more misguided, for they are the heedless ones" (7":179). The whole effort of the Qur'an in inculcating taqwa is to raise man from this hour to hour life so that he may establish his conduct on a solid basis with a view to long range objectives, to the "End of affairs." Second, the "Day of Accounting" (yawm al-hisab), when all deeds will be weighed

as the consequences of murdering his brother, Cain had to carry his and his brother's sins as well, which meant sure perdition for himself.

The most important and basic function of taqwa is to allow man to correctly examine himself and to see the right from the wrong. To the extent that one is able to perform this moral self-X-raying, to that extent one has "protected" himself from error and its self-destructive consequences. It must be noted, however, that this self-examination as it is implied in the notion of taqwa can never mean selfrighteousness. Just the contrary: an integral part of the meaning of taqwa is that while one examines oneself as objectively as possible, in order to guide one's conduct, there is no assurance that at any given moment one has chosen the right. If this self-examination had @ 斯坦岛间域中最高问。 humanism would work perfectly well and therefore would be no need for transcendence. But we know how subjective the consciences of people can be. Taqwa implies this very transcendence since it implies that while the choice is ours and the effort is ours, the final and truly objective judgment upon our performance is not ours but "lies with God." The greatest enemy of man for the Quran, the most powerful Satan, is his own self deception. The terms hawan (pl.ahwa-one's own innermost and hard to detect desires) and umniyah (pl.amanin-one's wishful thinking) occur very frequently in the Quran, and even the Prophet is told several times that Revelation cannot take into account his own wishes(75:16;20:114; and elsewhere). Indeed, the greatest task for man is to objectify his inner state: "Whenever these people are told not to work corruption on the earth, they say, 'We are only reforming.' Beware, these are the corrupters, but they do not realize it" (2:11). Again, "Say, shall we tell you of those who are the greatest losers in their deeds? They are those whose whole effort has got lost in the lower pursuits of this life, but who think they have performed prodigies" (18:103-104).

also 4:20 - 21 which is close to this in spirit) The passage continues: "that you [i.e., either party] should forgo the claim is nearest to *taqwa*. And do not forget to treat each other with grace."

It is because of the positively protective function of taqwa that, for the Qur'an, it becomes the most comprehensive concept both for avoiding errors and pursuing the right. Taqwa is described as the best "garment" one can wear (7:26), and as the "best provision" one can take for the future (2:197) — so that it is the best guarantee against exposure to peril and perdition. This is also the reason why the Qur'an so frequently uses the idea of "wronging oneself [zulm al-nafs]," as I have already elaborated somewhat (1980: ch.2). For, in the eyes of the Qur'an, every wrong that one does, of every sinlence that one commits against anyone, is reflexive and therefore is wrong committed against oneself. This goes for individuals as well as for peoples, communities, and nations. It signifies the lack of tagwa. Besides the verses I have quoted in the aforementioned work, an interesting illustration of this is provided by 5:27-29 about Cain's murder of his brother Abel: "And recite to them (O Muhammad!) the true story of the two sons of Adam, when each offered a sacrifice but while the one's [Abel's] was accepted [by God], the other one's was not. He [Cain said, 'I shall definitely stay you.' Abel replied: 'God accepts only from those who have tagwa. If you lay your hands on me to kill me, I am not going to lay my hands on you to kill you. I fear God, the Lord of the World. I want you to carry both my sins and yours so that you become among the people of Fire — this is the requital of the wrong doers." The points to note here are that Abel had taqwa. Hence his sacrifice was accepted by God, and hence also he decided not to lay his hands on his brother, i.e., not to be the first to kill, since, as many commentators of the Qur'an tell us, he was not sure Cain wanted actually to carry out his threat. But second, and even more importantly,

Furthermore, 22:37, in speaking about the ritual sacrifice made on the occasion of the pilgrimage, states: "The flesh [of these sacrificed animals] never reaches God, nor does their blood, but taqwa on your part does reach Him." In the same Sura (or chapter), and again connected with reference to the pilgrimage, the Qur'an says (22:32): "Whosoever gives due weight to the rites of [or symbolic acts ordained by] Allah, these [must spring from] the heart's piety [or taqwa]." The reason why the Qur'an has thus repeatedly stressed taqwa in connection with the pilgrimage rites is that, contradistinction form other practices like prayer, zakat, fasting, and jihad, these rites are especially liable to become purely mechanical unless due attention is paid to their spiritual meaning, which is called "taqwa of the heart."

© rasailojaraid.c

Second, certain verses in the Qur'an lend support to the view that taqwa is an ideal which must be aimed at, but which, for the most part, can only be approximated or realized to limited degree. In 9:8 the Qur'an warns those Muslims who, after the fall of Makkah, wanted to avenge themselves against their erstwhile persecutors and enemies: "O You who believe! Be upright unto God in your just witness-depositions, and let not the enmity [or hate] for a people lead you to be unfair to them; Be fair, for this is nearest to taqwa. And behave with responsibility [taqwa] toward God, [for] God knows well what you do." (See also 5:2 which has similar subject matter and import, but adds the words: "Cooperate with each other on the basis of righteousness and taqwa, not on the basis of sin and transgression.") In 2:237 it is stated, "And if you divorce them [your wives] before consummating the marriage, but after having settled the dower for them, then pay to them half of what you had settled." It adds: "except if she should forgo her claim, or her representative [literally; 'in whose hands is the marriage tie'] should" - an expression which according to some commentaries means the husband and would imply that the husband then pays the full dower. (Cf.

© rasailojąraid.c

the Qur'an came forth with the concept of taqwa ("fear of God") in order to break his haughtiness and humble his pride. But as our examination and analysis of this all-comprehensive concept will show, the element of fear conveyed by this term has a very complicated nature and the only translation that will do justice to it is perhaps "the fear of responsibility" which is very different from a fear someone might have, say, of a wolf, or a fear that a guilty person might have of police. In its possibly earliest use in the Qur'an (91: 8), it most probably means righteousness: "He [i.e., God] inspired [primordially] the human being with what is unrighteous and what is righteous [or with what is wrong and what is right]." And this meaning is preserved through the Qur'an, with a certain salient emphasis to which we shall draw attention in order to elicit and elucated at a salient emphasis.

First, it should be noticed that while iman ("faith") is primarily concerned with the inner life (although it is supposed to end in overt action), and while islam ("surrender to God's law") belongs primarily to outward action (although its inner dimension is equivalent to faith), taqwa equally comprises both faith and surrender. The Qur'anic passage 2:177, after mentioning the change of the Qiblah (direction of prayer) from Jerusalem to Makkah, states: "It is no piety (or righteousness) that you turn your faces eastward or westward (in prayer); virtuous, rather, is he who believes in God, the Last Day, the Angels, the Book [i.e., all revealed Books] and the prophets [and] who gives of his wealth, despite his love for it, to his [poor] kinsmen, to orphans, to the indigent, to the way farer, to those who ask for financial help, and for the freeing of captives and slaves; he who establishes prayers and pays zakat-tax, those who keep their pacts when they make them and are steadfast in adversity, tribulation and in war - these are the ones who are truly righteous and these are the ones who have taqwa." That taqwa must be rooted in the inner faith, and that overt acts alone cannot be called taqwa, is clear from this passage.

may have some sort of *iman* but it can not be true and full *iman* unless it is *islamically* expressed and worked out through a proper community, a community that will be both *muslim* and Muslim community.

TAOWA

We now come to the third and most central ethical concept of the Qur'an, tagwa, which is normally translated "God-fearingness" or "piety". To bring out a fuller import of this term is the essential purpose of this essay. We have already seen that the roots of both iman and islam have in common the basic constituents of safety, peace, and integrity (as opposed to danger, fragmentation, and disintegration). Interestingly and importantly, when we inquire into the root meaning of taqwa, we get the same answer. The root-letters aid w-q-y in Arabic mean "to protect," "to save Office aid destruction," "to preserve." Wiqayah or waqayah is a container or a utensil in which something eatable or drinkable is so put that it does not spill away or get fragmented and thus lost. In the Eighth Form, of the verb, it means "to protect oneself from possible danger or attack," hence "to be careful," "take heed," etc. In 3:28, the term has been used in a literal, i.e., physical, sense, where it si said that Muslims may not be friends or allies of non-Muslims in preference over Muslims "unless you do it as a safety measure" (i.e., the possible harm the non-Muslims might do to you or to other Muslims). But its standard use in the Qur'an is in the moral sense of "guarding against moral peril" or "protecting oneself against God's punishment," which itself can take many forms from the disintegration and downfall of nations to punishment of individuals on the Last Day.

Taking the element of "fear" to be the main constituent of taqwa, Professor T. Izutsu (1959) has advanced the theory that since the pre-Islmic Arab was a haughty and proud man,

© rasailojaraic

the Faith, (2) prayers, (3) paying zakat, (4) fasting during Ramadan, and (5) performing pilgrimage, Now, this division, in the eyes of the Qur'an, is much less than half truth, as verses quoted above on islam show. In the light of this, if of "reification" islam "externalization" means "concretization" of iman in such a way that the two are separate and can be, even conceptually, disconnected from each other, then it is patently false. But if it means islam as the concrete expression of iman and the Muslim community as the organized form of that expression, then it is necessarily true and the Qur'an itself is on record in its support. For, in the eyes of the Qur'an, in contradistinction from, say, Christianity, personal inner faith is by no means enough for God's purposes, and an organized normative community is a dire necessity. This phenomenon could, therefore, be justifiably called "reific@on" as an area in a sublimation of the normative community. Both mean the same, although the "reification" theory as propounded by Professor Wilfred Cantwell Smith seems to imply the opposite, that reification, instead of letting the dynamism of iman concretely work itself in a spatiotemporal context, obstructs it. It is possible that Professor Smith has been jointly influenced by two factors, his own Christain background and the plight of the community that now exists in the name of Islam. In any case, the Qur'an bears no such witness.

The second fundamental point about islam (the first being what we have expounded so far, that islam and iman are equivalents) is that islam is the overt, concrete and organized working out of iman through a normative community. The community members, therefore, must be grounded in iman and its light (only then the normative as contemplated by the Qur'an becomes possible), and, conversely, such light of iman must work itself outward through this community (iman and islam imply each other and this is the meaning of their equivalence). An individual

finally, to Muhammad and his contemporary followers (who are required to be hanifun) because these Prophets and their followers are nondeviant, nonsectarian monotheists. Now, because these Jews and Christians distorted their original teaching, they necessarily divided themselves into sects, became deviant and vulnerable to shirk ("putting oneself alongside of God," "sharing God's divinity"). They are neither hanifun nor muslimun. Indeed, in 61: 7, the Qur'an, obviously referring to Jews and Christians, says "Who is more unjust than the one who concocts lies upon God while he is being invited to islam?" Also, 98:4-5: "Those who had been given the Book [the Bible] did not split into sects except after the clear Proof [i.e., Revelation] had come to them; and they had been commanded only to serve God alone with exclusive devotion and obedience as hanifun" On the other hand, whenever Abraham is mentioned in the Qur'an, he is either called a hanif-muslim Onle & Sate of Cand submits") or a hanif-non-mushrik ("one who believes and does not engage in shirk); so with the Prophet Muhammad also and wherever shirk is attributed to the People of the Book, they are accused of sectarian splits as well (see 6:160-164; 30: 30-32; 98: 4-5). One is left with a strong impression that sectarian splits and vulnerability to shirk imply each other as opposed to a hanif, a muslim, a believer in straight, upright religion in conformity with the nature of man.

We have dilated somewhat on the issue of the fundamental equivalence of *islam* with *iman* because of the widespread belief generated by the commonality of Muslim creed-formulators that whereas *iman* refers to belief, *islam* refers to overt acts. Thus, we are told by the standard medieval credal doctrine of Islam that *iman* means belief in God, angels, 'revealed books, Prophets, and the Last Judgment (the Sunni creeds would characteristically add belief in God's predetermination of good and evil, which is nowhere to be found in the Qur'an!), whereas *islam* primarily consists of the overt acts of (1) public profession of

9 rasailojaraid.

islam in the verses already quoted:

- 4. "God has chosen the path of obedience [din] for you, so let you not die but that you are muslims" (2: 132).
- 5. After a critique of what the Qur'an regards as the idolatrous beliefs of Jews and Christians, it says, "They want to extinguish God's Light [i.e., islam = Islam] by [blowing at it with] their mouths, but God refuses but to perfect His Light much to the chagrin of the Kafirun [those who reject the truth]. He it is who has sent His Messenger with guidance and the true path of obedience [din al-haqq] that He may cause it to prevail upon all [false] paths of obedience much to the chagrin of the idolators" (9: 32 33).

We find an identical wording of the Qur'an concerning Jews and Christians (and idolators) after a lateral expedition critique of those two earlier communities in 61: 8-9. The most important point to note is that just as islam in Makkah is identified with God's Light and Guidance, so too in the Medinan verses. Just as in Makkah, again, those who are muslimun are those who surrender, so too in Medina. This islam is said to be the only true din or path of obedience to God and the only one that is acceptable to Him (verses 1 and 2 in the preceding set of Medinan verses). This is in absolute conformity with what the Qur'an has said all along, that iman and islam are identical and confer peace, security, and integrity on their subject.

The second point to take note of is that in verses 1 and 5 in this set of Medinan verses strong issue is taken with Jews and Christians. In 3: 19 they are accused of sectarian splits through willful stubbornness (baghy); therefore, they cannot be nuslimun for they cannot surrender to Truth. I have argued (1980: ch. 8) that it was on this basis that the Qur'an withholds from Jews and Christians the title hanif ("true believer in God") which it applies to Abraham, to other prophets and their contemporary followers and,

therefore, I shall consider both the relevant Makkan and the Medinan verses in which the term *islam* occurs and then conclude whether and, if so, how much reified the Medinan passages on the subject are. From Makkah we have the following two verses:

- 1. "Is a person whose heart God has opened up to *islam*, so that he is on [the path of] light from his Lord?" (39:22).
- 2. "Whomsoever God wants to guide, He opens up his heart to islam" (6: 125).

Islam in both of these verses can be construed as meaning both "surrender to God's law" and the concrete religion called Islam, even though this name was not construed given until the Medinan period. What brings out strikingly that islam in both of these verses is equivalent to iman is the fact that it is identified with "God's Light" in one verse and "God's Guidance" in the other. Let us now consider the following Medinan verses.

- 1. "The true path of obedience [din, or "religion"] for God is islam: those who had been given the Book [earlier: i.e., Jews and Christians] did not come to differ from each other except after [sure] knowledge [i.e., Revelation] had come to them, which they did out of rebellion against each other" (3: 19).
- 2. "Whosoever seeks a path of obedience [or "religion": din] other than islam, it will not be accepted from him/her" (3: 85).
- 3. "Today I [i.e., God] have perfected your path of obedience [din] for you, have consummated My blessings upon you and have been pleased for you with islam as your path of obedience [din] (5:4).

Along with these, some other Medinan verses ought to be considered which help elucidate the meaning of

© rasailojaraid.c

I should give a somewhat detailed proof of this point. Let us consider the following verses:

- 1. The Disciples of Jesus [al-Hawariyun] said to him, "We shall be God's helpers, we have faith [amanna] in God and bear you witness that we are muslims [muslimun]" (3:52).
- 2. Moses said to his people, "If you have faith in God, then put your trust in Him if you are muslims [muslimun]" (3:84, 5:11!).
- 3. "Those who believe [have faith] in our signs [verses] are muslims [muslimun]" (43: 69).
- 4. "Those to whom we had already given the Book [i.e., the Bible] before this [i.e., the Qur'an], balls and plantal .CO Qur'an]. And when it is recited to them they cry out: "We believe in it; it is the Truth from our Lord. We were already muslim [muslimun—i.e., believers] before it" (28:52—53).

This last verse is one of several that refers to certain Jews and/or Christians who accepted Muhammad's mission at a very early stage, as I have noted elsewhere (1980; ch. 8). Let it also be borne in mind that three references in 1 and 2 above, namely, 3:52; 3:84 and 5:111, which convey the imanislam equivalence or absolute inseparability, date from the Medinan period, and particularly 5:111 from the late Medinan period, when the Muslim community had been already formally set up and when, according to Professor Wilfred Cantwell Smith, islam had already become "reified" into Islam. What seems to give point to this "reification" theory is, of course, the fact we have already noted above. that in Medina al-islam is used both in the sense of surrender to (the Law of) God (which is equivalent to iman) and the name of the religion of Muhammad and his community. (The giving of this name, however, is attributed to Abraham.) In order to get the full import of this usage,

wajhahu, i.e., "he surrendered his person or himself," usually followed by li-allah, "to God," occurs numerously in different persons and different tenses and moods. The idea obviously is that one gains or preserves or develops one's wholeness, integrity, etc., by "surrendering oneself to [the Law] of God." The active participle muslim (in singular, dual and plural) occurs frequently, meaning "one who surrenders oneself to [the Law of] God." In 3: 83 the whole universe is said to be muslim because it obeys God's laws. (This idea also occurs in other Qur'anic passages, although this word is not used; for example, 41: 11, 13: 15; 16: 49; etc.) Various Prophets and their communities from Noah onward, but particularly Abraham, are called muslim.

The verbal noun of the Fourth Form virisher aid.C article, al-islam ("the surrender" or "the genuine surrender"), occurs six times in the Qur'an. Jane Smith (1975) has collected these passages with translation. It is important to note that while islam and muslim are used invariably by the Qur'an in their literal meaning, i.e., "surrender" or "one who surrenders to [the Law of] God," these are also used as proper names for the religious message promulgated by the Qur'an and for the Community that had accepted it. Indeed, in 22:78, this religious message is attributed to Abraham, who is said to have given the name muslim to this community. In most of these passages the original or literal meaning and the proper name are identified.

There are two very important points to note in connection with the term islam. The first is that it is integral to iman: the "surrender" to God's Law, in its essential nature, is not possible without faith. Indeed, fundamentally speaking, the two are the same and have been used equivalently in many passages o the Qur'an. Since this point is of basic importance to my thesis about the ethics of the Qur'an, namely, that it is inexorably "religious" ethics, I think

affirms that faith increases with knowledge. "Say (O Muhammad!): My Lord! increase me in knowledge" (20:114). "Can those who know and those who do not know be equal?" "Can the blind and the seeing be the same, or the darkness and light?" "The dead and living cannot be the same." (39: 9; 35: 19; 35:22; etc.) Faith, therefore, necessarily entails cognition.

Second, faith per se is a matter of the heart or heart and mind, as I have said, but it must result in action. This will be elaborated at some length below. But it must be said here that the separation of faith from action is, for the Qur'an, a totally untenable and absurd situation; it invariably couples faith with good works when it talks about the faith itself or, more frequently, describes the state of the faithful (mu'ming pl. mu'minun) and mentions faith alone with a good works. Conly when it is, for example, contrasting "those who believe" with "those who do not believe," etc. Conversely, real good works, as we shall see, must proceed from faith; works not rooted in faith are nothing and, in fact, often are worse than nothing because they are positively harmful.

ISLAM

Let us now consider the term islam. We have seen that the basic meaning of iman is to gain peace and security by an unflinching faith in God, His Message (s) and His Messenger (s). Now, the meaning of the root s-l-m is "to be safe," "whole," and "integral." The verb from the root in the First Form has not been used in the Qur'an, but certain other parts of speech have been used. Thus silm occurs in 2:208, where it means "peace", salam in 39:29, where it means "whole" as opposed to "division in conflicting parts," and salam in 4:91, where it also means "peace." It is thus used in numerous passages meaning "peace," "safety" or "greetings of peace." In the Fourth Form, the verb aslam, "he surrendered himself," "gave himself up," often aslama

general), the meaning of which is to believe in the truth of the Book and the Prophet that they have been sent by God. Again, the object can be the angels, i.e., that they exist as God's servants or, indeed, the Day of Judgment, i.e., that it will truly arrive. Or the verb can be used in its Fourth Form without any preposition or object, which simply means that the object is understood but not explicitly stated.

I said that in the First Form a-m-n means "to be at peace," "to be without tribulation," "to be safe." Now in the Fourth Form this basic meaning is carried over to the idea of "belief" or "faith" in God, which insures one's peace and safety. This is also implied in belief in the truth of (all) the Books of God, in His Prophets, and in the Judgment. This means that a person who does not accept God or does not have faith in Him and in other matters that the Books, etc.), cannot be secure, at peace, integral, etc. "Do not be like those who forgot God and (eventually) God caused them to forget themselves" (59:19). Iman is an act of the heart, a decisive giving oneself up to God and His Message and gaining peace and security and fortification against tribulation.

There are two points to be noted about faith. One is that it is not simply equivalent to intellectual or rational knowledge, but that it is not without such knowledge either. It is a "knot" ('aqd)," as Muslim theologians state, which "ties" the mind or "pegs" it to something sure and unshakably certain, but it has a sure basis in knowledge as well. Although the Qur'an admits that mere intellectual knowledge does not ensure guidance ("Did you see the one who has taken his own desires - or wishful thinking - to be his God and God has sent him astray despite his knowledge?" 45:23), nevertheless, guidance is impossible without knowledge. Indeed, in numerous passages the Qur'an clearly establishes faith-knowledge equivalence and

There are three basic terms in the Qur'an which come from different Arabic roots but which are surprisingly similar in their meanings. Together, they from the foundation of Qur'anic ethics and give it its characteristic ethos; unless one grasps these concepts well, there is no way adequately to understand the nature of that ethic or its content. In this brief article I shall first discuss the meanings of these three terms, hoping thereby to bring out the essential similarity/connection among them, and then attempt to make some general observations about the nature of the Qur'anic ethical doctrine as a whole.

IMAÑ

The first term is obviously iman, which is usually translated by "belief" or "to believe" The Fifth Farmaid meaning of the Arabic root a-m-n is "to be at peace with oneself" or "to feel no tribulation within oneself"; in this sense, it is equivalent to the term mutma'inn, i.e., "one who satisfied within one self." and the two are used equivalently in the Qur'an 16:112. In 2:283, iman is used in the sense of "depositing something with someone for safekeeping" (amanah means a "safe deposit" in 4:58 and elsewhere or a trust as in 33:72). In 4:83, 2:125, and elsewhere it means "safety from (outside) danger." It is safe to conclude, therefore, that "peace" and "safety" are its basic meanings. But it also comes to mean "being overly placid" over against a peril as in 7:97-99. In its Forth Form (aman), the verb is followed by the preposition li (to, for) in two places (10:83; 24:26), where it appears to mean "to follow someone" or "to give oneself over to someone." But the standard use of this Form in the Qur'an, and indeed in Arabic in generally, is with the preposition bi (in). In this use the verb means "to have faith or trust in," the primary object of this faith or trust being God (aaman bi-allah, "to trust in God"). But the object can be the Qur'an (or revealed Books in general) or the Prophet Muhammad (or Prophets in

© rasailojaraio

mean self-righteousness. He is quit emphatic about its anchorage and mooring in the formal dictates of *Sharia*. Thus he writes: "If this self-examination had a built in success, humanism would work perfectly well and there would be no need for transcendence" (P.17). Dr. Fazlur Rahman thus in one stroke disposes of both rational-humanist philosophers and those present-day *avant-garde* Muslim intellectuals who try to, so to say, de-Shariatize Islam through various innovative moves.

(iii) On page 23 we read (additions in parenthesis are mine): "Only when it (i.e. islam) has become a social order does it become a political order (i.e. Islam) to play a world role. One cannot build a political order unless the basis of a social order and political order unless the basis of a social order and political order unless the basis of a social order and political order unless the basis of a social order and political order unless the basis of a social order order or large order or large order or large order order or large order o

Absar Ahmad

ABSTRACT

Three concepts (*iman*, *islam*, and *taqwa*) are presented by the author as basic to the ethical perspective of the Quran. Each is analyzed in considerable detail, and the relations among these concepts for the Muslim community in its social and political dimensions are set forth.

perhaps there is only one point on which one can have qualms with him and that is..."The Sunni Creed would characteristically add belief in God's predestination of good and evil, which is nowhere to be found in the Quran". Apart from this, the entire article fully substantiates the orthodox Muslim point of view at the highest intellectual level. His was a mind of a logician and philosopher and a heart of a devout Muslim. His writings on Islam were not only the product of a meticulous scholar with great intellectual rigour and analytical skills but also that of a passionate and devoted Muslim who was deeply concerned about the spiritual, moral and material well-being of his fellow Muslims. Beginning right from the core concepts of iman and islam, the present article goes upolasal plaig.co and ultimate civilizational and socio-political goals of Islam. Incidentally, it also contains a very perceptive review and critique of the perception of, and failures faced by, the rather too-much-politicized electioneering Islamic revivalist movements all over the world. From amongst the contents of the article I would like particularly to highlight the following ideas which endorse the thoughts of Dr. Israr Ahmad so clearly and unambiguously.

- (i) On Page 12 Fazlur Rahman writes:" personal inner faith is by no means enough for God's purposes, and an organized normative community is a dire necessity." He thus fully affirms 'reification' (W. Cantwell Smiths' expression) of iman in a spatio-temporal context and impugnes all modernists' attempt to empty Islam of its political content.
- (ii) Discussing at a very deep level the nature of taqwa, he very rightly observes that self-examination as it is implied in the notion of taqwa can never by itself

SOME KEY ETHICAL CONCEPTS OF THE QUR'AN

(Fazlur Rahman)

Editor's Note:

We reproduce below an excellent research paper of (Late) Dr. Fazlur Rahman which he published in the prestigious academic journal "The Journal of Religious Ethics" of the University of Chicago (U.S.A.) in early eighties. He was kind enough to give me a copy of the article when I visited him in the summer of 1984. In the last three issues of Hikmat-i-Quan rthe High raid. rendering of Dr. Israr Ahmad's essay titled Three-point Action Agenda for the Muslim Ummah' was published in which, with reference to verse 102 of Surah Aal-i-Imran. he has discussed and dilated upon the three core terms of the Quran-'Islam', 'iman' 'taqwa'. At a very high level of sophistication and depth, the present article has conceptual affinities with the thoughts of Dr. Israr Ahmad: indeed it is an extension of the same forceful and activist gentre. It is therefore hoped that this will be read and appreciated as a companion or supplement to the 'Action Agenda' published in the last three issues of Hikmat-i-Quran.

A prolific writer and an outstanding scholar of Islam in the tradition of Allama Iqbal, Dr. Fazlur Rahman influenced a whole generation of young Muslim intellectuals, students and probably more importantly, his Western collegues in the field of Islamic Studies. Although considered controversial on certain issues, he was a scholar of encyclopaedic breadth in the true tradition of classical Islamic scholarship. In this article

© rasailojaraid.

ایک ساله رجوع الی القرآن کورس

یہ کورس بنیادی طور پر ان تعلیم یافتہ حضرات کے لئے تر تیب دیا گیاہے جو بی اے اس کے مساوی کوئی پروفیشنل ڈگری حاصل کرکے اپنی دنیاوی تعلیم مکمل کرچکے ہیں اور اب بنیادی دینی تعلیم کے حصول کے خواہاں ہوں اور اس کے لئے کم از کم ایک سال نکالنے پر آمادہ ہوں۔

اس کورس کا بنیادی مقصد سہ ہے کہ اس کے شرکاء میں قر آن تنمی کا ذوق Asailojara ک اجاگر ہو اور عربی زبان کے بنیادی قواعد کی پختہ بنیادوں پر تدریس کے ذریعے ان

میں اتنی استعداد پیدا کردی جائے کہ پھراننی خطوط پر پچھ مزید محنت کے بعد وہ اس قابل ہوسکیں کہ کسی ترجمے کی مدد کے بغیر قرآن مجید کے معانی اور مفہوم کو

براہ راست سمجھ سکیں۔ براہ راست سمجھ سکیں۔ نشر کاء کی سہولت کے لئے اس کورس کے نصاب کو دو سمسٹرز میں

O سر 6ء ی مہونت نے سے اس بورس سے نصا تقسیم کیا گیاہے۔

ت . ○ اس کورس کے چیدہ چیدہ مضامین درج ذیل ہیں:

(i) عربی گرامر

(ii) تجوید

(iii) قرآن حکیم کے متخب مقامات کی تشریح و توضیح

(iv) ترجمہ قرآن عربی قواعد کے اجراء کے ساتھ (قربیاً اڑھائی پارے)

(v) اصول مدیث اور احادیث مبارکه کاایک منتخب نصاب